

SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee

www.bayareairwmp.org

August 31, 2026: 2:00 pm – 3:30 pm

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/89566076789?pwd=PKvzk8UCtEBIqdCy2qIPZQ9hMpMJ8z.1&from=addon>

Meeting Objective:

Vote on reallocation of Proposition 1 Round 2 funding in the amount of \$5,955,258

Agenda:

2:00 – 2:05	Welcome and Introductions • Confirm Functional Area Reps in attendance for the vote	Chair
2:05 – 2:30	Discuss the Funding Reallocation Process • Brief review of SFEP Project Scoring Committee Process Memo • Discuss Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios and Reallocations Memo	SFEP
2:30 – 2:55	Project Selection Committee (PSC) Project Recommendations • Receive recommendations from selection committee	PSC/Elke
2:55 – 3:15	Vote on Prop 1 Round 2 Reallocation of Funding • Answer any remaining questions • Hold vote to reallocate funding	Chair/ Vice Chair
3:15 – 3:30	Announcements and Next Steps • Action items from meeting • Next meeting November 30, 2026	Chair

Attachments:

1. July 27, 2026 Coordinating Committee meeting minutes
2. SFEP Project Selection Committee Process Memo
3. Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios and Recommendations Memo

SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary
July 27, 2026, 2026
Zoom Call

1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present

Water Supply- Water Quality	Wastewater- Recycled Water	Flood Protection- Stormwater	Watershed	Communities/ Tribes
• Kevin Padway, Zone 7	• Cheryl Munoz, City of Hayward • Jackie Zipkin, EBDA	• Elke Rank, Zone 7	• Laura Cholodenko, SCC	• LaDonna Williams, All Positives Possible

Attendees:

Anesia Canty, CCC Flood
 Bhavani Yerrapotu, Valley Water
 Brian Mendenhall, Valley Water
 Brianna Schaefer, Daily Acts
 Cheryl Munoz, City of Hayward
 Chris Lim, CCRCD
 Christina Kelleher, CCC
 Denean Ni, SFCJPA
 Elke Rank, Zone 7
 Florence Wedington, EBMUD
 Haley Eligio, Marin Water
 Jackie Zipkin, EBDA/BACWA
 James Muller, SFEP
 Jarrad Fisher, SMRCD
 Jazmin Martinez, SFEP
 Kanyon CoyoteWoman, K.Konsulting
 Kevin Padway, Zone 7
 Kyle Pickett, MVSD
 LaDonna Williams, APP
 Laura Cholodenko, SCC
 Leia Giambastiani, Point Blue
 Lisa Hulette, Valley Water
 Liz Juvera, SFEP
 Madhu Thummaluru, Valley Water
 Marissa Cheng, UCB
 Natasha Daniels, SFEP
 Negin Ashoori, BAWSCA
 Sarah Hansen (Apologies for not catching your affiliation)
 Steven Chappell, SRCD

Meeting notes:

SFEP provided background on why the funds are getting redistributed

Questions:

- Why are the funds being returned? The project could not be completed in the time frame
- Are community groups eligible? Yes
- Can there be more than one project? Yes
- Do projects need to be implementation? Yes with the exception of decision support tools like Zone 7's decision support tool
- Can projects be in process or completed? No, the funds cannot replace existing funding
- If projects are completed, could they count as match? We need to ask DWR
- Can existing agreements be amended? Yes
- Can the funds go to a larger project? Yes, but the benefits of the grant funding have to be realized, and we need certain deliverables like as-builts

Projects that expressed interest in applying for the funds:

Contra Costa County Flood Control (CCC Flood Control)

- Wildcat Creek Habitat Restoration and Floodplain Project
- Funding need: \$4.6 million
- Project completion target: end of 2027
- Most permitting is complete.
- Currently in the CEQA public comment period.
- Match requirement:
 - \$2.3 million secured
 - Additional County funds may also be available as match.
- Design is at 65% completion and advancing toward 90% design.
- Multi-benefit project.

San Mateo Resource Conservation District (SMRCD)

- Outside of the current request, the RCD has approximately \$6 to \$8 million in matching funds available to help reduce match burdens.

Project 1: Creek Restoration, Water Storage, and Stream Complexity

- Funding request: \$2 million
- Match: \$1 million
- Permitting complete.
- Advancing to 100% design.

Project 2: Domestic Water Infrastructure Improvements

- Upgrades to water distribution facilities.
- Funding request: \$650,000
- Match: \$1 million
- Design expected to be complete by the end of the year.

State Coastal Conservancy (SCC) Mountain View Shoreline Project

- Restore a pond to tidal marsh.
- Upland soils are already being imported.
- Match funding is not a concern.
- March 2028 completion is likely ambitious.
- Project may be able to be phased or segmented.

Marin City / Golden Gate Village

- Awaiting project cost estimates and match information.
- Requested written requirements before providing additional details.

Action Item

San Francisco Estuary Partnership (SFEP) will:

- Distribute a form containing all information needed by the working group.
- Share the original PSP materials.
- Provide technical advice and assistance as needed.

Open Mic (projects that were not on the agenda)

Valley Water Coyote Creek Flood Protection Project

- Benefits can be realized within approximately 2 years.
- Can accommodate up to \$16 million in funding.
- Includes new project elements that protect critical infrastructure.
- Would add approximately 6,351 linear feet of flood protection features.
- Construction duration estimated at 2 years.

Daily Acts

Marin County Expansion

- Seeking additional funding to include more public and residential sites.
- Approximately 15 to 20 projects planned.
- Funding request: \$800,000
- Match: \$400,000
- Estimated water benefit: 2.2 acre-feet (AF)
- Most projects do not require CEQA review.

Petaluma Program

- Funding request: \$750,000
- Match from the City of Petaluma is currently unknown.
- Estimated water benefit: 2.2 AF

Third Project Option

- Funding request: \$1.2 million
- Match: \$600,000
- Implementation timeline appears feasible.

All Positives Possible

- Asked whether there has been an effort to allow community-based organizations (CBOs) to apply directly.

Mountain View Sanitary District

- Levee structures are compromised.
- Interested in the Levee Integrity Decision Support Tool.
- Funding request: \$400,000
- Match requirement: 20%.

East Bay Dischargers Authority (EBDA) Hayward Wastewater Treatment Plant Nutrient Removal Upgrade

- Previously supported by federal funding that is now indefinitely stalled.
- Project is fully designed and permitted and could be put out to bid immediately.
- Located in a disadvantaged community (DAC).
- Total project cost is approximately \$400 million, providing ample matching funds.
- Key question: What discrete project component could be funded through this opportunity?

Timeline/next steps:

- Need to pull together clear recommendations for the August meeting
- SFEP will pull together a template with critical info for folks that want to request funds (cost, timeline, match)
- Need a work group – project selection committee of 3-5 people with no project asking for funds on the selection committee
- August meeting – approve the new project(s) to the IRWM Plan + vote on the suite of projects
- Volunteers:
 - None



San Francisco
ESTUARY
PARTNERSHIP
sfestuary.org

Natasha Daniels & Leslie Perry

Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street, Suite 700,
San Francisco, California 94105

natasha.daniels@sfestuary.org

leslie.perry@sfestuary.org

MEMO

DATE: August 14, 2026

TO: IRWM Project Selection Committee for the Redistribution of Project Funds

FROM: Natasha Daniels & Leslie Perry, IRWM Grants Managers

RE: Prop 1 Round 2 Redistribution of Funds Process for Project Selection Committee

We are in the process of engaging the Bay Area IRWM Coordinating Committee (CC) to select projects for the reallocation of \$5,955,258 in grant funding as a result of withdrawing the Urban Reach 2 San Francisquito Creek Flood Protection and Ecosystem Restoration Project (Project 6) from the IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Grant because of construction delays and DWR's guidance that the term of the grant agreement cannot be extended. Projects that can be selected as replacements to accept grant funding must: 1) provide flood protection and/or habitat restoration benefits or be able to demonstrate other IRWM eligible benefits 2) be completed within the current grant term ending on 6/30/2028 and 3) provide matching funds (between \$5.3m and \$16.6m depending on total project cost and benefits to Disadvantaged Communities)

SFEP staff would like to thank you for volunteering to be a part of the Project Selection Committee (PSC) that will consider all eligible project submissions and evaluate viable scenarios that will best meet the goals of the region while meeting the grant terms. SFEP is committed to providing support to the PSC to ensure the process is fair, transparent, inclusive and meets requirements. SFEP will:

- Provide guidance to PSC on project eligibility and grant requirements
- Conduct an initial review and assessment of projects and identify viable funding scenarios that meet match requirements
- Provide materials for PSC review including individual submission summaries, a list of viable funding scenarios for consideration, budget scenarios
- Schedule meetings for PSC as needed.

The following schedule is anticipated in advance of the August 31, 2026 meeting that will select the project(s) that will be submitted to DWR. SFEP staff understands this is a tight turnaround but will help facilitate a streamlined process to develop materials to be considered and voted on at the 8/31 meeting.

8/10 – 8/13: SFEP downloads all Google Forms, reaches out to projects for additional information when needed, screens submissions for

eligibility, creates a matrix of eligible projects, and develops viable funding scenarios for PSC to consider. SFEP will provide documents for PSC consideration by Friday 8/14.

8/14 – 8/21: PSC meets with SFEP to review materials. PSC then reviews materials to evaluate viable funding scenarios. PSC may need to reach out to SFEP or applicants for further clarifying information. Leslie and Natasha are available to attend meetings to assist with guidance on project eligibility requirements, but SFEP staff will not weigh in on preferred scenarios. Anticipated number of hours from PSC: 1.5 – 2.

8/24 – 8/26: PSC meets a final time to formalize and rank recommended funding scenarios and drafts a justification memo (due 8/26) to present to the Coordinating Committee meeting on 8/31.

8/27: BAIRWMP Meeting materials go out with recommended project funding scenarios in the IRWM CC Meeting Packet.

8/31: BAIRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting at 2pm where PSC will present their memo that includes their recommended scenario with SFEP's introduction to the process. Functional area reps will vote on the funding scenario that SFEP will implement.

8/31 – 10/12: SFEP staff to work with CC-selected project applicants to finalize work plan, schedule and budget information including matching funds and disadvantaged community waiver justifications.

San Francisco Bay Area IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios

Total available funding: \$5,955,258

The San Francisco Estuary Partnership (SFEP) administers the Department of Water Resources Proposition 1 Round 2 Implementation Grant for the San Francisco Bay Area IRWM region. Funding decisions are made by the Bay Area IRWM Coordinating Committee, with recommendations from the Project Selection Committee (PSC). This reallocation is required because the Urban Reach 2 San Francisco Creek Flood Protection and Ecosystem Restoration Project was withdrawn due to construction delays and DWR guidance that the grant term cannot be extended.

Replacement projects must provide eligible IRWM benefits, be completed within the current grant term ending June 30, 2028, and provide sufficient eligible match based on total project cost and disadvantaged community (DAC) benefits. SFEP screened seven submitted applicants for eligibility and developed viable funding scenarios using DWR's cost share calculator for PSC consideration.

Applicants screened by SFEP:

- Daily Acts Organization (three potential projects)
- Santa Clara Valley Water District
- San Mateo Resource Conservation District
- Mountain View Sanitary District
- Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
- California State Coastal Conservancy
- East Bay Regional Park District

SFEP then evaluated funding requests, total project costs, match availability, DAC waiver assumptions, and the grant completion schedule. **Three applicants were not included in the viable scenario combinations** because their total project costs were so high that the match requirements could not be met. **The non-viable scenarios are listed at the end of this memo.**

The seven viable scenarios below prioritize projects that provide eligible benefits, can be completed within the grant term, and bring sufficient match. No applicant identified additional tribal benefits. Match amounts remain subject to final validation because existing projects continue to invoice and project costs may change.

PSC Review and Recommendation Process

The Project Selection Committee (PSC) convened on August 17, August 20, and August 24, 2026, to review and discuss the proposed funding reallocation. Over the course of these meetings, the PSC reviewed SFEP's eligibility screening and seven viable funding scenarios and considered project readiness, use of the full available grant amount, sufficient match, disadvantaged community benefits and related waiver assumptions, scalability of partial awards, administrative burden, direct implementation benefits, geographic distribution, and the overall benefits each scenario would add to the regional grant portfolio.

The PSC used these considerations to compare the viable scenarios and further examined Scenario 4 against alternatives that included funding for Daily Acts. At its August 24 meeting, following discussion of the available information and the relative strengths and considerations associated with the scenarios, the PSC voted unanimously to recommend Scenario 4 to the Bay Area IRWM Coordinating Committee. This document summarizes the information reviewed by the PSC, the criteria considered in its deliberations, and the basis for that unanimous recommendation.

The following pages summarize all seven scenarios, project benefits, and the PSC recommendation.

San Francisco Bay Area IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios

Total available funding: \$5,955,258

Scenario 1:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 100% DAC waiver assumption. SMRCD and Wildcat Fish Passage costs are shifted to match, and \$675,258 remains unallocated.

Scenario 1					
LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$4,047,000	\$675,258
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$2,144,378	

Scenario 2:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 100% DAC waiver assumption. SMRCD provides \$100,000 in shifted costs and Wildcat Fish Passage provides the remaining project cost share. A total of \$675,258 remains unallocated.

Scenario 2					
LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	\$675,258
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$100,000	

Scenario 3:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 100% DAC waiver assumption. Daily Acts receives \$675,258 and provides \$200,000 in match. SMRCD provides \$105,000 in shifted costs, and the full grant amount is allocated.

Scenario 3					
LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	No
Daily Acts	50%	North	\$675,258	\$200,000	
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$105,000	

Scenario 4:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 100% DAC waiver assumption. SMRCD receives \$675,258 and provides \$300,000 in new-project match plus \$750,000 in additional match. The full grant amount is allocated.

Scenario 4					
LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	No
SMRCD	0%	South	\$675,258	\$1,050,000	

San Francisco Bay Area IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios

Total available funding: \$5,955,258

Scenario 5:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 75% DAC waiver assumption, and Mountain View Sanitary District receives \$200,000 for a decision-support tool. SMRCD provides \$1,100,000 in shifted costs, and \$475,258 remains unallocated.

Scenario 5					
LPS	DAC Benefit*	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	75%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	\$475,258
MVSD	Uncertain	East	\$200,000	\$0	
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$1,100,000	

*This is one scenario that works with a reduced DAC waiver. If Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood does not receive the 100% DAC waiver assumed in Scenarios 1 through 4, additional match would be required.

Scenario 6:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a 100% DAC waiver assumption. Daily Acts receives \$675,000 and provides \$150,000 in match. SMRCD provides \$140,000 in shifted costs. The source scenario packet reports no funds remaining; however, its listed grant allocations total \$5,955,000, creating a \$258 arithmetic discrepancy that should be confirmed by SFEP.

Scenario 6					
LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	No*
Daily Acts	50%	North	\$675,000	\$150,000	
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$140,000	

Scenario 7:

Lower Wildcat/CCC Flood receives \$5,280,000 under a reduced DAC waiver assumption. Daily Acts receives \$675,000 and provides \$150,000 in match. SMRCD provides \$1,144,378 in shifted costs. The source scenario packet reports no funds remaining; however, its listed grant allocations total \$5,955,000, creating a \$258 arithmetic discrepancy that should be confirmed by SFEP.

Scenario 7					
LPS	DAC Benefit*	Region	Funding	Match	Funds leftover?
CCC Flood	75%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	No*
Daily Acts	50%	North	\$675,000	\$150,000	
SMRCD	0%	South	\$0	\$1,144,378	

*This scenario explores the potential of DWR not allowing a 100% DAC waiver for CCC Flood. If a partial waiver applies to the primary scenarios, additional match would be required.

San Francisco Bay Area IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios

Total available funding: \$5,955,258

The benefits table below summarizes the DWR-eligible benefits of the projects included in the viable funding scenarios.

Agency/Organization	Benefits
Mountain View Sanitary District	The primary benefit is a decision-support tool that would help MVSD evaluate the relative impacts and cost-effectiveness of levee maintenance and repair options in relation to habitat restoration and wastewater processing needs. The tool would identify the project or projects best suited to maintain levee integrity while balancing habitat and wastewater needs.
San Mateo Resource Conservation District	10 acre-feet of new water storage capacity; a July through October irrigation forbearance period; reduced dry-season surface-water diversions; increased summer instream flows for coho salmon and steelhead; improved drought resilience; and more sustainable agricultural water management.
Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District	Flood protection comparable to the FEMA-published 100-year flood discharge, significantly reducing flood risk to more than 300 parcels and protecting more than 135 acres in a State-designated Disadvantaged Community. Beneficiaries include 246 residential and small-business parcels, more than 50 commercial or industrial parcels, a school, a ballfield, and a public walking, running, and bicycle trail. The project would also clear a 4,500-foot fish-migration corridor, install nine log habitat structures, plant 150 native riparian trees, and beneficially reuse more than 20,000 cubic yards of sediment for the adjacent North Richmond Living Levee, providing additional community flood protection against sea-level rise.
Daily Acts Organization	Expansion of multi-benefit project implementation at up to 15 additional public, school, and residential sites, providing an additional 2.2 acre-feet of water conservation. Through the Land Resilience Partnership program, 125 sites have been assessed and implementation-ready projects identified. Sonoma Resource Conservation District would support design and engineering, with installation support from Conservation Corps North Bay, community volunteers, and local contractors. The project types are exempt from permitting and CEQA, subject to filing a notice of exemption, and can move quickly within the grant completion deadline. SFEP noted that the source request was for \$750,000.

San Francisco Bay Area IRWM Prop 1 Round 2 Funding Reallocation Scenarios

Total available funding: \$5,955,258 | Scenario 4 associated match identified by SFEP: \$7,097,000*

**The \$7,097,000 is the sum of associated match shown in SFEP's Scenario 4 table (\$6,047,000 for CCC Flood + \$1,050,000 for SMRCD). It should not be labeled "total match needed." DWR evaluates match across the full Round 2 grant, and the final requirement depends on final project costs and approved DAC waivers.*

Final Recommendation: Scenario 4

The Project Selection Committee recommends Scenario 4 for approval by the Bay Area IRWM Coordinating Committee. Scenario 4 allocates the full \$5,955,258 to two direct implementation projects and distributes grant funding across the East and South subregions. SFEP's Scenario 4 table identifies \$7,097,000 in associated match across CCC Flood and SMRCD; this is not a standalone statement of the Round 2 grant-wide match requirement.

Selection Criteria Crosswalk

	Scenario						
Selection Criterion	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Uses the full available grant amount			x	x			
Limits admin. burden (max 2 new contracts)	x	x		x			
Funds two direct implementation projects			x	x		x	x
Distributes grant funds across more than one subregion			x	x		x	x
Avoids a decision-support-only award	x	x	x	x		x	x
Uses the primary 100% CCC DAC waiver assumption	x	x	x	x		x	

Includes sufficient match under SFEP viable-scenario analysis	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Distributes grant funds solely to East and South regions currently with least representation	x	x			x		
Criteria met	5	5	6	7	2	5	4

Note: Scenarios 5 and 7 were developed to test reduced DAC-waiver assumptions; they are not primary full-waiver packages.

Recommended for Funding

LPS	DAC Benefit	Region	Grant Funds	Associated Match in SFEP Scenario	Primary Benefits
Contra Costa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (CCC Flood)	100%	East	\$5,280,000	\$6,047,000	Flood protection, DAC protection, fish passage, riparian habitat, living levee support
San Mateo Resource Conservation District	0%	South	\$675,258	\$1,050,000	Water storage, irrigation forbearance, instream flow, drought resilience, sustainable agriculture
Total			\$5,955,258	\$7,097,000	\$0 remaining

The recommended package:

- uses all available grant funding and leaves no balance unallocated;
- funds two direct implementation projects while limiting administrative burden;
- supports geographic distribution by funding projects in the East and South subregions;
- protects more than 300 parcels and more than 135 acres in a State-designated Disadvantaged Community;
- adds water storage, dry-season diversion reductions, instream-flow benefits, drought resilience, fish passage, and riparian habitat; and

f. includes sufficient identified match under SFEP's viable-scenario analysis, subject to final SFEP/DWR validation of grant-wide match and DAC-waiver requirements.

Other Scenarios Not Recommended

Scenario(s)	Reason Not Recommended
1 and 2	Leave \$675,258 unallocated and fund only the CCC Flood project.
3	Viable alternative that also uses the full grant amount. The PSC selected Scenario 4 after considering the group's stated criteria, including implementation benefits, scalability, administrative burden, and sufficient match.
5	Leaves \$475,258 unallocated and includes a decision-support tool rather than a second direct implementation project.
6 and 7	The source packet reports no funds remaining in both scenarios, although the listed grant allocations total \$5,955,000, a \$258 discrepancy requiring SFEP confirmation. Both scenarios fund Daily Acts rather than SMRCD; Scenario 7 also tests a reduced CCC DAC-waiver assumption.

Recommended motion: Approve Scenario 4 and authorize SFEP to advance the \$5,955,258 package to DWR, allocating \$5,280,000 to CCC Flood and \$675,258 to SMRCD, subject to final scope, schedule, match, and DAC-waiver documentation.

The following scenarios include each of the project applicants in some combination. None of these project combinations meet the matching funds requirement for the round. In some of these scenarios, no additional projects were included because no additional combination of projects would meet the funds requirements. These scenarios below were all run through DWR's cost share calculator.

Non-viable scenarios							
Project Name	Grant Amount	Match	Other Project Cost	DAC Benefit	Total Project Cost	Cost Share Rq'ment Met?	Funds leftover?
No project	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0%	\$ -	\$ (5,322,699.00)	\$5,955,258.00
No project ends up with \$5,322,699 shortfall in match							
EBRPD	\$ 2,040,900.00	\$ 9,400,000.00	\$ 3,559,100.00	0%	\$ 15,000,000.00	\$ (2,172,699.00)	\$3,914,358.00
SMRCD		\$ 2,500,000.00					
EBRPD project included; SMRCD gives \$2.5M in match. Shortfall of \$2,172,699. No project can take leftover funds and meet match shortfall.							
Valley Water	\$ 9,800,487.00	\$ 16,000,000.00		0%	\$ 25,800,487.00	\$ (2,897,943.00)	\$ -
Valley Water takes all funding; \$2,897,943 short							
SCC	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 3,000,000.00	\$ 4,000,000.00	0%	\$ 10,000,000.00	\$ (6,472,699.00)	\$1,855,258.00
SMRCD		\$ 2,500,000.00					
SCC project included; SMRCD gives \$2.5M in match. Shortfall of \$6,472,699. No project can take leftover funds and meet match shortfall.							
Daily Acts	\$ 1,200,000.00	\$ 600,000.00		50%	\$ 1,800,000.00	\$ (2,850,510.00)	\$4,755,258.00
SMRCD	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000.00		0%	\$ 8,736,596.00		
Daily Acts project included; SMRCD brings add'l \$2.5M in match. Shortfall in match is \$2,850,510. No project can take leftover funds and meet match shortfall							
Daily Acts	\$ 1,200,000.00	\$ 600,000.00		50%	\$ 1,800,000.00	\$ (3,250,510.00)	\$3,655,258.00
SMRCD	\$ 1,100,000.00	\$ 3,130,622.00	\$ 5,905,974.00	0%	\$ 10,136,596.00		
Daily Acts project included; SMRCD gets funded and brings add'l \$2.5M +\$300k in match. Shortfall in match \$3,250,510. No project can take leftover funds and meet match shortfall.							
MVSD	\$ 200,000.00	\$ -	\$ -	DST; potentially 100%	\$ 200,000.00	\$ (3,400,510.00)	\$4,655,258.00
SMRCD	\$ 1,100,000.00	\$ 3,130,622.00	\$ 5,905,974.00	0%	\$ 10,136,596.00		
Mt. View Sanitary District gets included; SMRCD gets funded and brings \$2.5M + \$300k in match. Shortfall in match is \$3,400,510. No project can take leftover funds and meet the match							