

**SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary**  
**April 25, 2022**  
**Conference Call Dial-in Only**

**1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present**

<b>Water Supply- Water Quality</b>	<b>Wastewater- Recycled Water</b>	<b>Flood Protection- Stormwater</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Communities/ Tribes</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Devon Becker, ACWD</li> <li>• Steve Ritchie, Chair, SFPUC</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mark Boucher, CCCFCWCD</li> <li>• Brian Mendenhall, Valley Water</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Andy Rodgers, NBWA</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Jonathan Cordero, Association of Ramaytush Ohlone</li> <li>• Terrie Green, Marin City Climate Resilience and Health Justice</li> <li>• Keta Price, ISPS</li> <li>• LaDonna Williams, All Positives Possible</li> </ul>

**Others Present:**

Marco Berger, Multicultural Center of Marin  
Pat Costello, City of Napa  
Maddie Duda, Lotus Water  
Jarrad Fisher, San Mateo RCD  
Ryan Hirano, Woodard & Curran  
Jennifer Krebs, representing Sonoma Water  
Darcie Luce, SFEP  
Lonnie Mason, First Generation  
James Muller, SFEP  
Taylor Nokhoudian, SFPUC  
Michelle Novotny, SFPUC  
Kevin Padway, Zone 7  
Leslie Perry, SFEP  
Matt Sagues, Marin Water  
John Steere, Watershed Program PWD

Chelsea Spier, DWR  
Alex Tavizon, CIEA  
Jing Wu, Valley Water

## **2. Status of Prop 84 Rounds 2 & 4**

Round 2 Project 10 Bayfront Canal needs to submit the project's final deliverables.

For Round 4, a grant amendment was recently completed. SFEP is working with the Coastal Conservancy on developing funding agreements for Project 7 Mt View Shoreline Portion of South Bay Salt Ponds Restoration and Project 8 South San Francisco Bay Shoreline Project Phase I.

AQPI billing and invoicing are up to date. Scripps is hiring a new staff person to develop the con ops plan (i.e. the long term operation plan). Site selection and permitting are still ongoing for the Sawyer Ridge radar. The Rocky Ridge radar is closer with permitting. The Rocky Ridge and Santa Cruz radars are anticipated to be installed in June. The Sonoma radar is under repair. The Valley Water radar is operational. The con ops work plan has been approved.

## **3. Equity in CC Governance**

Taylor Nokhoudian provided a recap of the representatives that were selected by the communities and Tribes to be included in the Coordinating Committee governance structure.

LaDonna Williams raised a concern about agencies speaking for communities, instead of communities speaking for themselves. She asked about the status of the anti-racism resolution that was drafted by the Coordinating Committee and expressed there has been a lack of follow through on this policy.

Maddie Duda clarified there are multiple processes occurring at multiple levels to incorporate equity. At the state level, Ms. Nokhoudian was working in coordination with Katie Burdick with Yuba IRWM and the Roundtable of Regions to find funding to enable disadvantaged community and Tribal representatives to get paid to participate in these discussions. It was also clarified that through the Roundtable of Regions effort, outreach to the Bay Area DACTIP outreach partners was conducted via email. Also, some funding was identified by Yuba IRWM. It was acknowledged that emails may not be enough to ensure meaningful participation from communities and Tribes. There was concern expressed that communities and Tribes should be involved in discussions both about funding and representation.

There was discussion about the need for a follow up meeting on the types of efforts can help improve equity at the state level.

### Action Items:

- Michelle Novotny will follow up on the status of the Coordinating Committee's equity policy.
- Steve Ritchie, Ms. Nokhoudian, and Ms. Novotny will meet to discuss the status of the state effort and provide an update at the next Coordinating Committee meeting.

#### **4. Status of Prop 1 IRWM DACTIP**

Mr. Muller reported that the final contract amendments with the outreach partners are being routed for final approvals. SFEP will be working with DWR to amend the grant to extend the term to December 2022. Invoicing is up to date. However, there are a few invoices haven't been processed due to holds up with insurance. Roughly half of the grant has been spent to date.

Tap water quality testing program is making progress. So far, 638 kits have been ordered. 247 samples have been collected. Results have been received from 195 tests. Some MCL exceedances were identified and the outreach partners are working with the appropriate utilities to follow up.

Ms. Duda reported that the Regional Needs Assessment Report has gone through a full round of editing and graphics. There will be a final review by the outreach partners. The next step will be to work with the outreach partners on a media and communications strategy.

Ryan Hirano reported on capacity building. He has been assisting with grant writing for Nuestra Casa, ISPS, and Marin City Climate Resilience and Health Justice to support on grants outside of IRWM. He continues to send out the funding opportunities sheet to the outreach partners.

Ms. Terrie Green commented that the capacity building support has been helpful and has resulted in her organization being able to get funding. Mr. Hirano helped them with research and making connections. Ms. Green has been challenged in the past by not being able to apply for grants when eligibility is determined based on a map that doesn't fully represent DACs. She also expressed the need for funding to identify next steps for the tap water quality testing program.

Ms. Williams commented that the tap water quality program is showing that communities are feeling involved in the process.

Alex Tavizon provided the Tribal programmatic updates. The Tribal partners have tap water testing results from 28 different Tribal households and 13 different Tribal organizations. There have been 2 MCL exceedances and they are working to identify next steps. Mr. Tavizon has

been discussing with EBMUD the possibility of holding a meeting to provide training on how to interpret the results of the tap water quality testing.

Action Items:

- Ms. Nokhoudian will agendize the presentation on the needs assessment findings from people experiencing homelessness at the beginning of the next Coordinating Committee meeting.

**5. Status of Prop 1 Implementation Funding**

Leslie Perry reported that Round 1 included placeholders for projects that weren't ready with CEQA. Project 6 River Oaks Stormwater Capture has come out of placeholder status into a formal project. There are 2 more placeholder projects. Project 7 Upstream San Francisquito Creek Flood Protection Project is tight on their timeline. If they can't complete CEQA by September then the funding (\$2.9m-3m) will roll over to Round 2.

Brian Mendenhall discussed the proposed approach for Round 2 scoring. There are a total of 12 points for projects that meet the regional scoring criteria. 5 out of the 12 points are focused on prioritizing Tribal and DAC projects.

Ms. Williams commented on the terminology of communities vs. DACs. She expressed concern that overburdened, frontline communities are being marginalized through the terminology being used. Mr. Mendenhall responded that the regional scoring criteria uses DACs, EDAs, and underrepresented communities.

Devon Becker suggested 2 minor edits to the scoring criteria. On question 10, the first bullet should include EDA. The PPC memo should also be edited to reflect the 24 cumulative point total.

As there was no objection to moving forward with scoring recommendations for Round 2, the Coordinating Committee approved the scoring recommendations.

Action Items:

- Ms. Nokhoudian will agendize a discussion of the grant applicant for Round 2 at the next Coordinating Committee meeting.

**6. Status of 4 Party Funds:**

Mr. Muller commented that there are still administrative issues to be worked out by Marin Water to be able to spend the funds.

KEY

Project entirely closed out except for ongoing Post-Performance Reports  
 Project with significant concerns

Critical Milestone achieved since last meeting.

\*Grant Funds as documented through invoices submitted to DWR. MTC Financial records may differ

ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600010575 - Round 2							Construction Status Breakdown			Funds (as of Q28)	
Quarterly Status: All quarterly uploads complete Payments: SFEP awaits final payment for Project 10 Amendments: None Site Visits: None Grant Term: December 31, 2021							Complete:	19	Total Grant: \$20,000,000		
							Underway:	0	Total Match: \$13,470,177.66		
							Sig. Concerns:	0	Grant Funds Spent*: \$19,971,795.86 (99.8%)		
							Withdrawn:	1	Match Funds Documented: \$13,470,177.66 (100%)		
Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR	
01_Bay Area Regional Water Conservation & Education Program	Solano County Water Agency	Complete	Mar-19	N/A	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
02_East Bayshore Recycled Water Project Phase 1A (Emeryville)	EBMUD	Complete	Nov-16	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
03_Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction & Managements	Marin Municipal WD	Complete	Nov-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
04_Marin/Sonoma Conserving our Watersheds, Agriculture BMPs	Marin RCD	Complete	Nov-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
05_Napa Milliken Creek Flood Damage Reduction & Fish Passage Barrier Remo	County of Napa	Complete	Feb-18	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
06_5th St. East & McGill Road Recycled Water	Sonoma Valley Cnty San Dist.	Complete	Nov-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
07_Oakland Sausal Creek Restoration	City of Oakland Pub. Wks.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
08_Pescadero Water Supply & Sustainability	County of San Mateo	Complete	Mar-19	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
09_Petaluma Flood Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality for Capri Creek	City of Petaluma	Complete	Feb-18	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	Closed	
10_Bayfront Canal/Atherton Channel Flood Improve & Habitat Restore	Redwood City	100%	Oct-21	N	Submitted	Draft #1 March 2022	N	N	None to Date	Apr-22	
11_Regional Groundwater Storage & Recovery Phase 1A	SFPUC	Complete	Jun-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
12_Richmond Breuner Marsh Restoration	East Bay Regional Park Dist.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
13_Infrastructure Upgrades for Water Supply & Quality	Roseview Heights Mutual Water	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	
14_SF Bay Climate Change Pilot Projects	SFEP & Oro Loma San Dist	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
15_SF Airport Reclaimed Water Facility	SFO/City of San Francisco	Complete	Jul-20	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	Closed	
16_San Jose Green Streets & Alleys Demonstration	City of San Jose	Complete	May-18	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
17_San Pablo Rheem Creek Wetlands Restoration	Contra Costa Water Dist.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#3	Closed	
18_Upper York Creek Dam Removal & Ecosystem Restoration	City of St Helena	Complete	Jan-21	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	None to Date	Closed	
19_Students & Teachers Restoring a Watershed (North & East Bays)	Point Blue Conservation	Complete	Feb-18	N/A	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
20_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Mar-22	Draft Dec 2021	N	N	N/A	Apr-22	

ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600011486 - Round 4							Construction Status Breakdown			Funds (as of 5/06/2022)	
Quarterly Status: Q23 Review in process Payments: No update Amendments: None this reporting period Site Visits: None this reporting period Grant Term: December 31, 2024							Complete:	4	Total Grant: \$21,469,025		
							Underway:	1	Total Match: \$22,395,709		
							Sig. Concerns:	2	Grant Funds Spent*: \$9,430,314.50 (43.9%)		
							Withdrawn:	1	Match Funds Documented: \$12,747,949 (55.6%)		
Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR	
01_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Apr-24	Oct-24	N	N	N/A	Mar-24	
02_Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit	Santa Clara Valley WD	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	
03_Marin 2020 AMI Phase II	Marin Municipal WD	100%	Aug-21	N/A	Submitted	Draft #3 in review	N	N	None to Date	Oct-22	
04_East Palo Alto Groundwater Supply	City of East Palo Alto	100%	Aug-18	Y	Submitted	Final with DWR	N	N	None to Date	Aug-22	
05_Coastal San Mateo County Drought Relief Phase II	San Mateo RCD	Complete	Aug-21	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	Closed	
06_SFQuito Creek Flood Damage Reduction & Ecosystem Restore Phase 2	SFQuito Creek JPA	Complete	Dec-19	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed	
07_Mt View Shoreline Portion of SBSPR	State Coastal Conservancy	10%	None to Date	N	Oct-24	Oct-24	N	N	None to Date	Dec-24	
08_South San Francisco Bay Shoreline Project Phase I	State Coastal Conservancy	5%	None to Date	N	Oct-24	Oct-24	N	N	None to Date	Dec-24	
09_Novato Creek Flood Protection and Habitat Enhancement	State Coastal Conservancy	99%	Mar-22	Y	Jul-22	Draft #1 June 2022	N	N	None to Date	Sep-22	

<b>ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600013831 - Round 1</b> <b>Quarterly Status:</b> All Q5 materials due 4/29 <b>Payments:</b> SFEP awaits payment for Project 04 (Invoices 2 & 3) <b>Amendments:</b> Sept for Placeholder Projects <b>Site Visits:</b> None <b>Grant Term:</b> March 31, 2025	<b>Construction Status Breakdown</b> Complete: 0 Underway: 3 Sig. Concerns: 0 Withdrawn: 0			<b>Funds (As of Q4)</b> Total Grant: \$22,750,000 Total Match: \$23,440,554 Grant Funds Spent*: \$1,212,194.01 (5.3%) Match Funds Documented: \$5,743,460 (24.5%)	

Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR
00_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 2/1/2025	N	N	N/A	Jun-25
01_RD1 System Fish Passgae Improvements	Alameda County WD	97%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 7/31/2022	N	N	None to Date	Nov-22
02_Lower Walnut Creek Restoration	Contra Costa Flood Control	75%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 2/28/2022	N	N	None to Date	Jun-22
03_North Bay Water Reuse Program Phase II	Sonoma County Water Agency	10%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 6/30/2024	N	N	None to Date	Oct-24
04_Calistoga Water and Habitat Project	City of Calistoga	60%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 12/15/2023	N	N	None to Date	Apr-24
05_Bay Area Water Conservation	EBMUD	5%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 10/31/2024	N	N	None to Date	Feb-25
06_River Oaks Stormwater Capture	City of San Jose	35%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 11/31/2024	N	N	None to Date	Mar-25
07_Upstream San Francisquito Creek Flood Protection Project	SFCJPA	10%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 11/31/2024	N	N	None to Date	Mar-25
08_San Francisco Zoo Recycled Water Pipeline	SFPUC	13%	None to Date	N	N/A	Draft 1 Expected 11/31/2024	N	N	None to Date	Mar-25



**Coordinating Committee  
San Francisco Bay Area  
Integrated Regional Water Management Plan**  
c/o San Francisco Public Utilities Commission  
525 Golden Gate Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Coordinating Committee Statement Addressing Equity in Bay Area IRWMP**

The Bay Area Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Coordinating Committee recognizes that systemic and institutional racial and social inequities persist for African American, black, indigenous, people of color, Native Nations, and California Tribes. The Coordinating Committee is committed to prioritizing projects that advance racial equity and result in equitable outcomes for African American, black, indigenous, people of color, Native Nations, and California Tribes.



March 28, 2022

Bay Area Integrated Water Resources Management Plan  
Planning and Process Committee

**Subject: Project Screening Committee Decision Criteria Memo**

Dear Coordinating Committee:

The purpose of this memo is to document the process that will be used by the Project Screening Committee (PSC) to select projects for the San Francisco Bay Area region's 2022 Proposition 1 Grant Solicitation Proposal and to publicize the final list of recommended projects. In the event the PSC encounters an unforeseen issue outside the guidance of this memo or within it, the PSC will address the issue internally and bring the final recommendation to the CC for approval.

The PSC is a volunteer body composed of members active on the Bay Area Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Coordinating Committee (CC) and representing local public agencies, Tribes, disadvantaged communities (DACs) and Economically Distressed Areas (EDAs), and other stakeholder organizations.

To reduce the administrative burden on the Round 2 grant proposal administrator, the PSC will target approximately 10 eligible projects for the Proposition 1 Round 2 Grant Proposal but may add additional projects to meet regional needs and/or to spend all available grant funds. In addition, the PSC will balance funding distribution by including at least one project from each Functional Area (Flood Protection-Stormwater, Wastewater-Recycled Water, Water Supply-Water Quality, Watershed-Habitat, DAC) and one project from each Subregion (North, South, East, West) in the Funding Area, as defined in the 2019 Bay Area IRWM Plan Update, if such projects could be considered competitive (i.e. top 33% of ranked projects). The PSC will also elevate eligible projects that provide benefits to Tribes/DACs/EDAs through the General Implementation Project funding as well as the 10% minimum reserved for DACs,/EDAs and tribal projects benefitting DACs/EDAs as defined by DWR. In addition, competitive projects that bring additional match to the benefit of the regional proposal may be considered for higher priority.

**Project Scoring and Selection Process**

The PSC will convene through a series of preparatory Zoom/Teams/BlueJeans meetings and a final Zoom/Teams/BlueJeans 'Scoring Review and Project Selection' meeting. To ensure the scoring and selection process is fair and equitable, PSC members considered to be directly affiliated with a project proponent will not score their own project. In addition, project scores that are not submitted by the agreed-upon internal deadline will not be included in the review process. Similarly, PSC members who are not present at the final 'Scoring Review and Project Selection' meeting must defer to the final decision of the convened PSC.

March 28, 2022  
PSC Decision Criteria Memo

#### Review Process:

- PSC will use the Department of Water Resources (DWR) Round 2 Grant Implementation Proposal Solicitation Package (PSP) Project Level Evaluation scoring criteria (Attachment 1) in combination with additional regional scoring criteria approved by the CC (Attachment 2) to evaluate all project submittals. This quantitative scoring process will be referred to as the “Combined Criteria.”
- Each individual PSC scorer will compile point scores for each project based on the Combined Criteria, and then these individual scores will be sorted by rank (with 1 being the highest scoring project on the 24-point scale). Final project scores will be calculated by average rank, thus avoiding skew and outliers (i.e. fairness issues with universally high-scorers versus universally low-scorers) to provide all scorers with an equal voice. The project with average rank closest to 1 will therefore be the top choice.
- PSC will review the updated highest-ranked projects for Functional Area representation.
- PSC will review the updated highest-ranked projects for Subregion representation.
- PSC will review the updated highest-ranked projects for Tribal/DAC/EDA representation.

#### Managing Conflict of Interest

As mentioned above, to ensure the scoring and selection process is fair and equitable, PSC members representing agencies or organizations that have submitted a proposal will not score their own projects. In addition, the accepted ground rules for the in-person ‘Scoring Review and Project Selection’ meeting will include a verbal agreement by all present not to lobby the group or advocate on behalf of their project, and to only provide additional information about a project if requested. Lastly, no member of the PSC will receive any additional information on how to put together a competitive project application compared to other applicants: the review process will follow the “Combined Criteria” scoring criteria exactly and any additional selection requirements will be based on preestablished direction from the CC.

DWR caps Proposition 1 Round 2 grant administration costs at a maximum of 10% of the total grant request (or \$2.275M for Round 2). This 10% maximum includes the combined grant administrator costs for administration of the regional Proposal as well as any administration grant funding requests within the individual projects. The PSC will set aside a 10% placeholder value for grant administration costs; the CC and grant administrator will come to an agreement on the total administration cost and how these costs will be allocated among the selected projects, including redistribution of any funding remaining after administration costs are formally determined.

#### Communication and Transparency with Project Proponents:

The Planning and Process Committee (PPC), in collaboration with the PSC, will hold a workshop (date TBD) for applicants to ask questions and for the PPC and PSC to provide an overview of DWR’s eligibility criteria as well as the Combined Criteria used in scoring. The PSC will also provide the following materials to the CC to be posted on the public-facing Bay Area IRWM website:

- Links to the 2019 Bay Area IRWM Plan Update, the 2022 PSP, and the 2022 IRWM Grant Program Guidelines

March 28, 2022

PSC Decision Criteria Memo

- A definitions sheet of key terms used in DWR's IRWM scoring criteria. For example, what the term “leveraged funds” means.
- The scoring criteria to be used by the PSC to score Proposition 1 Round 2 projects (the Combined Criteria)
- The PSC Decision Criteria Memo dated March 28, 2022 (this memo)
- A comprehensive list of what applicants must submit to PSC as well as the dates/schedule for submission. This will include the Project Information Form (PIF) as well as all additional materials required for project review including detailed budgets, project schedules, and proof of DAC eligibility (if submitting as a DAC).
- The final list of projects recommended by the PSC, as well as a breakdown of grant funding between all recommended projects, to be posted upon approval by the CC.
- The final PSC spreadsheet showing the aggregated point scores for each project submitted, but not individual ratings per reviewer per project.

The PSC will also require an email address for a Point of Contact from applicants for questions/feedback to be submitted along with the project application. The PSC will send confirmation emails to these addresses upon receipt of the required project application materials. Once the scoring process is complete, the PSC will present a list of recommended projects to the CC for final approval. The PSC will provide general feedback on proposals during the PSC proposal evaluation meeting. This information will be recorded by a scribe in the evaluation meeting and can be made available upon request by applicants.

**Internal PSC Requirements:**

The CC will approve the members who will serve in the PSC. The CC will also consider potential compensation for community representatives to participate. Once the PSC for Proposition 1 Round 2 projects is formed, the PSC will host a technical training for all PSC members that will introduce the materials and technology to be used to review and score project applications. PSC members will be instructed on how to access, add information to, and save the shared Google Docs. The PSC will have a mockup scoring session to ensure all scorers can access the shared Google Docs and successfully enter the full range of scores for a hypothetical project. The PSC will also consider assigning a subset of the volunteer PSC to review and eliminate any proposals that do not meet DWR eligibility criteria to save the full PSC time scoring them.

Sincerely,

Brian Mendenhall, Valley Water (on behalf of the Project Screening Committee)

Draft Bay Area IRWM Regional Scoring Criteria	Questions	Evaluation Guidance and Scoring; the application must contain:	Leg Citation	Form/Question No.	Maximum Points Available
9	Is the project consistent with the IRWM plan and Bay Area IRWM identified needs focused on the following: climate change?	Project includes consideration for climate change. (2 points if climate adaptation is the primary project purpose, 1 point if it is an additional benefit)			2
10	Does the project provide benefits to underrepresented communities (e.g. disadvantaged communities, economically distressed areas, Tribes)?	<p>The applicant demonstrates* (points are additive):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project supports a DAC, EDA, or Tribe generally. (1 point)</li> <li>• Project supports an identified need from the Prop 1 DACTIP needs assessment. (1 point)</li> <li>• Project includes a support letter from the affected community. (1 point)</li> </ul> <p>* Applicants shall provide documentation demonstrating at least 20% of the project benefits are for underrepresented communities via publicly available data tools to determine eligibility for Q#10 points</p>			3
11	Does the project include training and communication between the community and agencies planning, implementing, operating and maintaining a project?	Project includes a component of training and communication between the community and agencies where applicable, including aspects related to planning, implementaton, and/or operations and maintenance of the project. (1 point)			1
12	Does the project raise an issue of impacts to cultural resources?	Project raises concerns of impacts to cultural resources and provides proof of communication with an affected Tribe, including response and endorsement from the Tribe. (1 point)			1
13	Does the project provide safe, clean water from contaminants other than those listed in AB1249?	<p>Project considers safe, clean water and impacts by contaminants not listed in AB1249. (2 points for communities meeting at least 20% threshold* as underrepresented communities [e.g. disadvantaged communities, economically distressed areas, Tribes], 1 point for any applicant addressing contaminants).</p> <p>* To be eligible for 2 points on Q#13, applicants shall provide documentation demonstrating that at least 20% of the project benefits are for underrepresented communities via publicly available data tools</p>			2
14	Is the project being planned and implemented as a partnership (e.g. multiple organizations, counties/areas)?	Bay Area IRWM recognizes the benefit of partnering and/or covering an extended area with a project. Point for the project clearly describing a collaborative element either across multiple areas (i.e. cities, counties, watersheds, IRWM subregions), multiple jurisdictions (i.e. multiple cities or counties), or multiple organizations (e.g. cities, counties, water districts, non-profits, communities, Tribes). (1 point)			1
15	Does the project provide multiple (more than one) benefits?	Project provides for multiple (more than one) benefits, with focus on meeting statewide priorities and regional needs. (2 points)			2
<b>Maximum Possible Project Regional Score</b>					<b>12</b>

**BAIRWMP Coordinating Committee**  
Schedule of Future CC Meetings

Date	Location
June 27, 2022	Virtual
July 25, 2022	Virtual
August 22, 2022	Virtual