

**SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary
May 18, 2020
Conference Call Dial-in Only**

1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present

Water Supply- Water Quality	Wastewater- Recycled Water	Flood Protection- Stormwater	Watershed	Disadvantaged Communities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steve Ritchie, SFPUC, Chair • Mark Seedall, CCWD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cheryl Munoz, City of Hayward, representing BACWA 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brian Mendenhall, Valley Water 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Josh Bradt, SFEP • Brenda Buxton, SCC • Judy Kelly, NBWA 	

Others Present:

Brad Arnold, Valley Water
 Y'anad Burrell, EBMUD
 Tess Byler, San Francisquito Creek JPA
 Taylor Chang, SFPUC
 Maddie Duda, EJCW
 Natasha Dunn, SFEP
 Adam French, Amah Mutsun Land Trust
 Ryan Hirano, EJCW
 Darcie Luce, SFEP
 James Muller, SFEP
 Michelle Novotny, SFPUC
 Kelsi Oshiro, ACWD
 Leslie Perry, SFEP
 Jake Spaulding
 Alex Tavizon, CIEA
 Aston Tennefoss, DWR

2. Status of Prop 84 Rounds 1-4

For Round 1, Josh Bradt reported receiving the retention payment from DWR. He is making the final retention payments to the local project sponsors and to SFEP for admin costs.

Round 2, Natasha Dunn reported the status of a couple approved project completion reports. Project 10 Bayfront Canal/Atherton Channel is compiling documentation for a potential time extension to be

formally submitted to DWR, including an MOU demonstrating a commitment to the project. Project 18 Upper York Creek is advertising their bid package.

For Round 3, James Muller reported all quarterly submittals are being reviewed and will be submitted to DWR. The submittals total about \$200,000. The round will likely be extended to the end of the year to allow time for final reporting for the last 3 or 4 projects.

For Round 4, Mr. Muller reported that Project 3 MMWD AMI had a sizable increase in construction implementation and are now at 66% complete. Project 6 San Francisquito Creek Flood Damage Reduction had their final project report approved by DWR. Project 7 Mountain View Shoreline and Project 8 Eden Landing will likely be amended in June or July.

Jake Spaulding provided an AQPI update. The San Francisco and East Bay X-Band radars are expected to be installed in the fall. There was a site visit to the East Bay radar site last Monday. Currently there is a users group meeting happening.

3. Status of Prop 1 IRWM DACTIP

SFEP submitted a progress report to DWR documenting all activities through the end of April. Currently, there isn't a South Bay outreach partner. The work on the homeless communities needs assessment is on hold due to COVID-19. The Pacific Institute is the program's technical advisor for homeless communities needs assessment.

Last Tuesday, there was a virtual meeting with many of the large Bay Area water providers and community members to discuss the scope for the regional tap water quality testing effort. The next step will be to have community meetings, continue discussions with the technical advisor at UCLA Luskin Center and the water agencies to further define the scope of what will be tested and where. Steve Ritchie commented that historically there has been a lot of focus on rural water quality issues, but the same attention hasn't been given to urban issues. We may want to explore this with the state and others in terms of whether this kind of effort could take place in other urban areas in California.

Ryan Hirano and Maddie Duda asked CC members if they know of other funding opportunities to help the outreach partners with some issues identified in the needs assessments that aren't directly related to the DACTIP.

Alex Tavizon reported on the Tribal outreach update. The regional tap water quality meeting was a great opportunity for Tribes to participate. 3 Tribes participated. CIEA has been working with DWR to facilitate and bring tribes to the Tribal Regional Water Management webinar series. The webinar series will be held on Tuesdays for the next 3 weeks at 8:50am-12pm. There was another Tribal Advisory Committee meeting where technical assistance needs including mapping were discussed.

The DACTIP Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) will be meeting after the CC meeting to delve into the tap water quality testing effort as well as potential changes to the format of the TAC meetings to ensure they are as meaningful as possible.

4. Discussion and Approval of CC Governance Structure Changes

The governance structure formalizes participation in the Coordinating Committee as outlined in the BAIRWMP. The governance structure relates to voting members, but it doesn't guarantee funding. The proposal is to add DACs and Tribes to the governance structure in order to give them a formal vote at the Coordinating Committee.

Michelle Novotny has been having discussions about tying the governance structure to funding allocations. The Plan includes the funding allocation guidelines we adopted for Prop 84 wherein the plan was to split funding equally by sub-region. There was the intention to also try to allocate funding fairly to each functional area. Ms. Novotny proposed the question of revisiting the funding allocation rules in the Plan. There was comment that the governance shouldn't be tied to funding allocation. There was another comment that funding allocations are target allocations, not requirements. There was another comment to see how process goes in dealing with allocations for Prop 1 and then revisit this question next year. There was a suggestion to document the funding allocation process during the next funding round.

Action Items:

- Ms. Chang will add to the next Coordinating Committee meeting agenda the vote to approve the proposed changes to the CC governance structure.
- Ms. Novotny will provide language on how the Plan will be updated to reflect the changes for the next CC meeting.

5. Status of Prop 1 Implementation Funding

Local project sponsors that have projects being funded under Round 1 should continue to adopt the Plan. Final award is anticipated for June 1. Then SFEP will begin drafting local project sponsor funding agreements.

Mr. Muller discussed the draft letter included in the CC meeting packet. The goal is to let the State know there are concerns about the timing for Round 2. Due to COVID-19, there have been delays in the ability of communities to meet and continue to develop project proposals that are ready in time for Round 2.