

**SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary**  
**June 10, 2019**  
**Location: Conference Call 888-273-3658; Access code: 970289**

**1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present**

<b>Water Supply- Water Quality</b>	<b>Wastewater- Recycled Water</b>	<b>Flood Protection- Stormwater</b>	<b>Watershed</b>	<b>Disadvantaged Communities</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Steve Ritchie, SFPUC, Chair</li> <li>• Mark Seedall, CCWD</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Mark Boucher, CCCFCWCD</li> <li>• Brian Mendenhall, Valley Water</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Josh Bradt, SFEP</li> </ul>	

**Others Present:**

Jessica Arm, DWR  
Leonard Ash, ACWD  
Devon Becker, ACWD  
Taylor Chang, SFPUC  
Craig Cross, DWR  
Natasha Dunn, SFEP  
Adam French, Amah Matsun  
Cristina Grosso, SFEI  
James Muller, SFEP  
Robyn Navarra, Zone 7  
Claire Nordlie, EBMUD  
Sherri Norris, CIEA  
Jake Spaulding, SCWA  
Alex Tavizon, CIEA

**2. Discussion of Transition of Prop 1 IRWM DACI Grant Administration**

James Muller reported that he is working with Michelle Novotny with SFPUC and Warner Chabot with San Francisco Estuary Institute (SFEI) to provide gap funding to the sub-contractors and ensure the sub-contractors' scopes of work align with SFEP's proposal to DWR.

Mr. Muller and DWR have been interacting on the proposal to transition the grant admin to SFEP. Regarding the program support line item in the budget, MTC determined they cannot sole source for

this work given the nature of the work and how the grant contract ended with EJCW. Mr. Muller is working with the SFPUC to chart the best path forward to procure the program support. They are looking at alternatives including San Francisco contracting and paying for the program support.

The transition is on schedule to get the sub-contractors back into contract in July.

Josh Bradt and Ms. Novotny have been meeting with all the sub-contractors. Maddie Duda and Ryan Hirano have also participated in the meetings. They've received positive feedback and there is excitement to carry on with the work. They've also received general feedback regarding community distrust of drinking tap water due to discoloration and poor taste. The sub-contractors will continue with the needs assessment work, which includes surveys, interviews, and joining other outreach activities occurring in the community. After the needs assessment, the next steps include analyzing the data, reporting back to the community, and developing solutions.

### **3. Discussion of Approach to Prop 1 Implementation**

The Process and Planning (PnP) sub-committee has been holding transition calls with the Project Scoring Committee (PSC). The Bay Area IRWM region closed the acceptance of project proposals on June 3. The group received 23 proposals. Robyn Navarra helped collect the proposals and upload them to a Google Drive so the PSC can screen and score the projects. Maddie Duda helped create a Google form for the PSC to record their scores. The PSC has until July 12 to review the project proposals.

The PSC will develop a memo to present to the Coordinating Committee on July 22 on the recommendations for projects to include in the grant application. Devon Becker volunteered to write the memo.

Regarding selection of a grantee, ABAG is the desired entity. It was asked what was needed to get this approved by ABAG. Mr. Muller commented he can't bring it to the ABAG board for approval until the projects have been selected for inclusion in the grant application. Mr. Muller requested that he be invited to the PSC meetings.

It was discussed that DWR's Project Summary Form and the selected projects' PIFs will need to be included in grant application packet. The DWR workshop is scheduled for August 23. DWR has stated that the pre-workshop materials be submitted to them by August 9. The final grant package would be submitted 8-12 weeks after Aug 23, around the end of October.

#### **Action Items:**

- Taylor Chang will add Mr. Muller to the PSC meetings.

### **3. Announcements and Next Steps**

The SFPUC will host the August 26 CC meeting.

KEY

Project entirely closed out except for ongoing Post-Performance Reports  
 Project with significant concerns

Critical Milestone achieved since last meeting.

<b>ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600010575 - Round 2</b> Quarterly Status: Q17 review is being finalized with DWR. Q18 will be uploaded to DWR at the end of June. Payments: Q15 and Q16 payments have been received and checks will be sent to LPSs by June 28, 2019. Amendments: Amendment 6 was executed by DWR June 07, 2019 Site Visits: No site visits occurred during this period Grant Term: December 31, 2020							<b>Construction Status Breakdown</b> Complete: 14 Underway: 2 Sig. Concerns: 2 Withdrawn: 1			<b>Funds (as of Q18)</b> Total Grant: \$20,000,000 Total Match: \$13,485,178 Grant Funds Spent: \$17,006,735.84 (85%) Match Funds Documented: \$9,900,021.52 (73.4%)	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--	--

Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR
01_Bay Area Regional Water Conservation & Education Program	Solano County Water Agency	100%	Mar-19	N/A	Mar-19	Draft #1 March 2019	N	N	None to Date	Jul-19
02_East Bayshore Recycled Water Project Phase 1A (Emeryville)	EBMUD	Complete	Nov-16	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	closed
03_Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction & Managements	Marin Municipal WD	Complete	Nov-17	N	Nov-18	Awaiting draft #3	N	N	#1	Nov/Dec 2018
04_Marin/Sonoma Conserving our Watersheds, Agricult BMPs	Marin RCD	100%	Nov-17	Y	Feb-19	SFEP is Reviewing	N	N	None to Date	May-18
05_Napa Milliken Creek Flood Damage Reduction & Fish Passage Barrie	County of Napa	Complete	Feb-18	Y	Submitted	Approved by DWR	Y	Y	None to Date	Closed
06_5th St. East & McGill Road Recycled Water	Sonoma Valley Cnty San Dist.	Complete	Nov-17	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	None to Date	Closed
07_Oakland Sausal Creek Restoration	City of Oakland Pub. Wks.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	Closed
08_Pescadero Water Supply & Sustainability	County of San Mateo	99%	Mar-19	N	Jul-18	Draft #1 Spring 2019	N	N	None to Date	May-19
09_Petaluma Flood Reduction, Water & Habitat Quality for Capri Creek	City of Petaluma	100%	Feb-18	N	Sep-18	Draft #2 Under Review	N	N	None to Date	Sep-19
10_Bayfront Canal/Atherton Channel Flood Improve & Habitat Restore	Redwood City	0%	None to Date	Jun-20	Sep-20	Draft #1 July 2020	N	N	None to Date	Dec-20
11_Regional Groundwater Storage & Recovery Phase 1A	SFPUC	Complete	Jun-17	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	closed
12_Richmond Breuner Marsh Restoration	East Bay Regional Park Dist.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Final approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	closed
13_Infrastructure Upgrades for Water Supply & Quality	Roseview Heights Mutual Water	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn
14_SF Bay Climate Change Pilot Projects	SFEP & Oro Loma San Dist	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Dec-18	Final Draft with DWR	N	N	None to Date	Apr-19
15_SF Airport Reclaimed Water Facility	SFO/City of San Francisco	65%	None to Date	N	Sep-18	Draft #1 August 2019	N	N	None to Date	Feb-20
16_San Jose Green Streets & Alleys Demonstration	City of San Jose	Complete	None to Date	Y	Sep-18	SFEP is Reviewing	N	N	None to Date	Mar-19
17_San Pablo Rheem Creek Wetlands Restoration	Contra Costa Water Dist.	Complete	Apr-17	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#1	closed
18_Upper York Creek Dam Removal & Ecosystem Restoration	City of St Helena	0%	None to Date	N	Jun-20	Draft #1 June 2020	N	N	None to Date	Dec-20
19_Students & Teachers Restoring a Watershed (North & East Bays)	Point Blue Conservation	Complete	Feb-18	N/A	Mar-19	Draft #1 Complete, Awaiting Draft #2	N	N	None to Date	Jul-19
20_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Oct-20	Draft August 2020	N	N	N/A	Dec-20

<b>ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600010883 - Round 3 (Drought Round)</b> Quarterly Status: Q14 materials were uploaded to DWR June 02, 2019 and are under review. Q15 materials will be uploaded to DWR at the end of August. Payments: Q12 & Q14 payments were received and checks will be sent to LPSs by June 28, 2019 Amendments: SFEP will submit Amendment #5 to reallocate \$702,500 in admin funds and to extend project #01, in July. Site Visits: No site visits were completed this period. Grant Term: September 30, 2020							<b>Construction Status Breakdown</b> Complete: 6 Underway: 4 (all over 60%) Sig. Concerns: 0 Withdrawn: 0			<b>Funds (as of Q14)</b> Total Grant: \$32,178,423 Total Match: \$25,121,180.72 Grant Funds Spent: \$29,830,262.15 (92.7%) Match Funds Documented: \$25,121,180.72 (100%)	
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--	--	---	--

Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR
01_Lower Cherry Aqueduct Emergency Rehabilitation	SFPUC	75%	None to Date	May-19	Jan-19	draft #1 Spring 2019	N	N	None to Date	Jul-19
02_Zone 7 Water Supply Drought Preparedness	Zone 7	Complete	Aug-16	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	closed
03_Los Carneros Water Dist. & Milliken Sarco-Tulocay Recycled Water	Napa Sanitation District	Complete	Aug-16	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	closed
04_Sunnyvale Continuous Recycled Water Production & Wolfe Rd Pipe	Santa Clara Valley WD	96%	May-18	N	Submitted	Draft #1 Spring 2019	N	N	None to Date	Apr-19
05_DERWA Phase 3 Recycled Water Expansion	DSRSanDist/EBMUD	Complete	May-16	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	closed
06_Calistoga Recycled Water Storage Facility	City of Calistoga	Complete	Aug-16	Y	Submitted	Final Approved by DWR	Y	Y	#2	closed
07_Drought Relief for South Coast San Mateo County	San Mateo RCD	92%	Jun-17	Feb-20	May-20	draft #1 Spring 2020	N	N	None to Date	Sep-20
08_Stinson Beach Water Supply & Drought Preparedness	Stinson Beach County WD	100%	Mar-19	Sep-18	Submitted	Draft to be submitted to DWR in March	N	N	None to Date	Apr-19
09_Bay Area Regional Drought Relief Conservation Program	StopWaste	100%	None to Date	N/A	Sep-18	Draft submitted to DWR	N	N	None to Date	Apr-19
10_WaterSMART Irrigation with AMI/AMR	Marin Municipal WD	99%	Mar-19	N/A	Sep-19	Draft #1 Winter 2019	N	N	None to Date	Mar-20
11_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sep-19	draft #1 Summer 2019	N	N	N/A	Oct-19

<b>ABAG/DWR Grant Agreement #4600011486 - Round 4</b> <b>Quarterly Status:</b> Q10 documents were uploaded to DWR June 02, 2019 and are under review. Q11 will be uploaded to DWR on July 31, 2019. Q12 will be uploaded to DWR on August 31, 2019. <b>Payments:</b> Q7 & Q9 payments were received. Checks will be sent to LPSs by June 28, 2019. <b>Amendments:</b> SFEP submitted the amendment #3, Draft 2 packet to DWR in June 2019. It is currently under review. <b>Site Visits:</b> None this reporting period <b>Grant Term:</b> December 31, 2020							<b>Construction Status Breakdown</b> Complete: 2 Underway: 3 Sig. Concerns: 2 Withdrawn: 1			<b>Funds (as of Q9)</b> Total Grant: \$21,469,025 Total Match: \$22,395,709 Grant Funds Spent: \$3,384,666.44 (15.8%) Match Funds Documented: \$0 (0%) *	
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Project # and Title	Project Sponsor	Construction Implementation Status	Project Completion Site Visit Date	Engineer's Cert of Completion Rcvd (Y/N)	Submission Date for Final Invoice	Project Completion Report Status	Retention Requested (Y/N)	Retention Paid (Y/N)	Post-Performance Report # Submitted	Anticipated Date Retention Release Invoice Issued to DWR
01_Grant Administration	ABAG	N/A	N/A	N/A	Jun-21	Jun-21	N	N	N/A	Aug-21
02_Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit	Santa Clara Valley WD	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn	Withdrawn
03_Marin 2020 Turf Replacement	Marin Municipal WD	0%	None to Date	N/A	Sep-19	draft #1 September 2019	N	N	None to Date	Apr-20
04_East Palo Alto Groundwater Supply	City of East Palo Alto	100%	Aug-18	Jul-18	Aug-18	draft #1 March 2019	N	N	None to Date	Mar-19
05_Coastal San Mateo County Drought Relief Phase II	San Mateo RCD	65%	None to Date	Apr-19	Jun-19	draft #1 March 2019	N	N	None to Date	Jan-19
06_SFQuito Creek Flood Damage Reduction & Ecosystem Restore Phase 2	SFQuito Creek JPA	100%	Dec-18	May-19	May-19	draft #1 May 2019	N	N	None to Date	Dec-19
07_Mt View Shoreline Portion of SBSRPR	State Coastal Conservancy	0%	None to Date	Dec-19	Feb-20	draft #1 February 2020	N	N	None to Date	Sep-20
08_Eden Landing Portion of SBSRPR	State Coastal Conservancy	0%	None to Date	Dec-20	Oct-20	draft #1 October 2020	N	N	None to Date	May-21
09_Novato Creek Flood Protection and Habitat Enhancement	State Coastal Conservancy	0%	None to Date	Dec-20	Dec-20	draft #1 December 2020	N	N	None to Date	Jul-21

\*NOTE: No projects that have committed to matching funds have billed against the grant to date.



Bay Area IRWMP  
Project Scoring Committee

<b>Name</b>	<b>Agency</b>	<b>Functional Area</b>	<b>Region</b>	<b>Email</b>
Steve Ritchie	SFPUC	WS-WQ	West	<a href="mailto:sritchie@swater.org">sritchie@swater.org</a>
Metra Richert	SCVWD	FP-SW	South	<a href="mailto:mrichert@valleywater.org">mrichert@valleywater.org</a>
Mark Boucher	CCCFCWCD	FP-SW	East	<a href="mailto:mark.boucher@pw.cccounty.us">mark.boucher@pw.cccounty.us</a>
Brenda Buxton	State Coastal Conservancy	Watershed	Regional	<a href="mailto:brenda.buxton@scc.ca.gov">brenda.buxton@scc.ca.gov</a>
Judy Kelly	NBWA	Watershed	North	<a href="mailto:judy.NBWA@gmail.com">judy.NBWA@gmail.com</a>
Cheryl Munoz	SFPUC	WW-RW	West	<a href="mailto:cmunoz@swater.org">cmunoz@swater.org</a>
Robyn Navarra	Zone 7	WS-WQ	East	<a href="mailto:rnavarra@zone7water.com">rnavarra@zone7water.com</a>
Chris Choo	Marin County		North	<a href="mailto:CChoo@marincounty.org">CChoo@marincounty.org</a>
Devon Becker	ACWD	WS-WQ	East	<a href="mailto:Devon.Becker@acwd.com">Devon.Becker@acwd.com</a>
Maddie Duda		DAC	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:mduda@g.clemson.edu">mduda@g.clemson.edu</a>
Adam French	Amah Matsun	Tribal	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:adamkfrench@gmail.com">adamkfrench@gmail.com</a>
Deja Gould	IPOC	Tribal	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:dgsiiuhti@gmail.com">dgsiiuhti@gmail.com</a>
Kanyon Sayers	Association of Ramaytush Ohlone	Tribal	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:indiancanyon.kanyon@gmail.com">indiancanyon.kanyon@gmail.com</a> <a href="mailto:kanyon@kanyonconsulting.com">kanyon@kanyonconsulting.com</a>
Sherri Norris	CIEA	Tribal	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:sherri@cieaweb.org">sherri@cieaweb.org</a>
Alex Tavizon	CIEA	Tribal	Regional/DACT	<a href="mailto:alexandert.ciea@gmail.com">alexandert.ciea@gmail.com</a>

Draft Minutes for Prop 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation

Project Scoring Committee (PSC) Call

June 5, 2019

Attendees:

Robyn Navarra

Alex Tavizon

Adam French

Deja Gould

Devon Becker

Cheryl Munoz

Mark Boucher

Brian Mendenhall

Judy Kelly

Scott Territo representing Kanyon Sayers

The period is now closed for projects to submit their proposals. Robyn Navarra commented we received a total of 32 projects. Four of the submittals appear to be for the same project, so Ms. Navarra is reaching out to those project proponents for clarification. The total requested grant amount is below \$5 million. Most requests are below \$1 million each. Robyn commented that some of the project proponents may have put the grant request amount in the wrong box on the PIF.

Some project proponents sent additional attachments to the PIF form. There was discussion regarding accepting additional attachments to the PIF form, since the instructions indicated not to include attachments. The PSC members are comfortable on attachments that are maps because they add context. If there are specific questions while reviewing PIF forms, reviewers can reach out to the project proponents for more information.

The DWR PIF form has a requirement to include a project map, and a cost summary that's more detailed than the BAIRWM PIF. We did not ask for that information as part of our solicitation. In the past, we've asked for this additional project info after we're determined which projects to be included in the grant application.



PSC reviewers should review only the PIF form and any maps that were submitted. The initial screening is focused on if the project meets the criteria and is it a good fit. After the initial screening, the reviewers can review any additional attachments.

Ms. Navarra will put all PIF forms and submitted maps in one folder on Google Drive in the afternoon of June 6. Ms. Navarra will ask Maddie Duda to send the link to the Google Drive to the group.

A question was asked if reviewers should review and score projects in their sub-region to avoid conflict of interest. Another question was how long would it take to read through each PIF form?

Ms. Navarra said it would take about 20 hours to review all submitted forms. It was discussed whether all reviewers would review all the submitted PIFs. Judy Kelly stated that the challenge in not having everyone review all submitted forms makes it hard for everyone to be on the same page. There's a benefit to being familiar as possible with each submitted project.

The majority of the group determined that all reviewers should review all submitted forms. If a reviewer has a conflict of interest, that reviewer shouldn't score that project. For example, if the reviewer's agency submitted a project, they shouldn't score that project.

An in-person review meeting is scheduled for July 15. There may be a need for another PSC call prior to the in-person meeting.

A question was asked if there would be back and forth allowed between the reviewer and applicant? Brian Mendenhall said in general no, so there's a level playing field for everyone. If there are questions while reviewing forms, reviewers should note them and discuss with the PSC.

Devon Becker asked what will the reviewers be using as scoring criteria? Ms. Navarra said we have to start with DWR's excel spreadsheet scoring criteria. On the last call, the PSC discussed using a different scoring range than DWR. This is the first round of screening, so we can decide later if we want to weight scores differently. Reviewers can make comments in their notes if projects do well at meeting regional priorities. It was determined that for this initial round of screening, reviewers should use DWR's excel sheet scoring criteria. Mr. Becker offered to write the memo at the end of the process describing how the PSC came to their recommendations for projects to include in the grant application.

The PSC needs to decide on a chair. Taylor Chang indicated that It would be great if there was one point person coordinating the group. Ms. Chang requested that Mr. Mendenhall chair the PSC. Pending Mr. Mendenhall checking with his managers, he tentatively accepted the position of chair. Ms. Kelly offered to be the facilitator for the July 15 in-person meeting.

#### Action Items:

- All PSC members will review submitted PIF forms by July 12.
- Reviewers should use the Google Doc Maddie created to score the projects.
- Ms. Navarra will upload the submitted PIF forms to the Google Drive by the afternoon of June 6.

- Ms. Chang will reach out to StopWaste to see if they could host the July 15 in-person meeting from 12-4pm. EBMUD will be the backup location. The room will need a computer and projector.
- Ms. Chang will ask Ms. Duda to print the materials from Google docs (scoring criteria sheet and project file that will be uploaded in spreadsheet format) for the July 15 in-person meeting.
- Ms. Chang will set up another PSC call in 2 weeks.

Draft Minutes for Prop 1 IRWM Round 1 Implementation

Project Scoring Committee (PSC) Call

June 19, 2019

Attendees:

Metra Richert

Devon Becker

Brenda Buxton

Adam French

Taylor Chang

Judy Kelly

Maddie Duda

Brian Mendenhall

Karen Koppett

Mark Boucher

Alex Tavizon

Robyn Navarra

Sherri Norris

Cheryl Munoz

Brian Mendenhall introduced Karen Koppett, who has agreed to help chair the PSC moving forward. Karen has been involved in the BAIRWM Conservation project and knows a lot about IRWM.

We received 23 proposals and agreed that everyone would review all proposals.

Judy Kelly commented she is unsure where on the form to input the scores and any notes or comments.

Robyn Navarra and Maddie Duda set up a Google Drive folder for all the submitted PIFs. Within the folder, there is a PDF of every project form uploaded. Projects that uploaded maps with the PIF are saved within the individual project's PDF.

Judy also commented that she would like to have access to every piece of information submitted with the PIF. The group concurred they want access to everything that was submitted. Robyn will add them to the Google Drive folder.

The deadline to complete the review of the proposals is July 12.

Brian mentioned there are some technical issues with the Project 8 submittal because it didn't follow the format.

Devon Becker mentioned Project 6 had technical issues with a box on the form.

We ask for information in the PIF about match dollars. The PIF says the match info can be attached. However, if the project applicant attached the info, it is not available for the PSC to view.

Alex Tavizon commented that Project 1 question 9.d., there should be an attached EDA form that is missing.

Adam French asked if we are accepting budget justification and documentation. In the Prop 1 PSP, DWR's scoring criteria guidance gives up to 4 points if a more detailed budget and schedule is provided. This limits the PSC's points to up to 2 points because we didn't ask for more detailed budget documentation. DWR's scoring criteria guidance is Table 4 in the PSP.

Devon expressed concern that because not everyone submitted detailed budgets since we didn't ask for detailed budgets upfront, it may give an unfair advantage to projects that did submit detailed budgets. Brian suggested asking the 23 projects to submit detailed budgets, with a quick turnaround time. Brian will work with Karen to send the email requesting more detailed budget and schedule from the 23 projects.

Alex would like to have the maps accessible.

Sherri Norris asked if project proponents were to come to the in-person deliberation meeting where the PSC will select projects for inclusion in the grant application. The group was not comfortable with having the project proposals attend.

Robyn will upload DWR's Table 4 scoring criteria from the PSP to the Google Drive.

Robyn created a spreadsheet where the PSC reviewers would record their individual scores.

Devon commented that there were 3 projects that didn't meet the local cost share requirement. This would make them ineligible. It was commented this should be discussed in July.

#### Action Items:

- Robyn will add any additional documents, such as maps, that were submitted by the project to the Google Drive folder.

- Brian will work with Karen to send the email requesting more detailed budget and schedule from the 23 projects, with 1-week turnaround.
- Robyn will upload DWR's Table 4 scoring criteria from the PSP to the Google Drive.

**BAIRWMP Coordinating Committee**  
Schedule of Future CC Meetings

Date	Location
July 8, 2019	Conference call
July 22, 2019	Elihu Harris State Building (Oakland) 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Del Norte Conference Room
August 26, 2019	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
September 23, 2019	??