

SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee Meeting Summary
October 22, 2018
Location: MTC/SFEP, 375 Beale Street, San Francisco, CA

1. Roll Call – Appointed Functional Area Representatives Present

Water Supply- Water Quality	Wastewater- Recycled Water	Flood Protection- Stormwater	Watershed	Disadvantaged Communities
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mark Seedall, CCWD (by phone) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cheryl Munoz, SFPUC (representing BACWA) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Brian Mendenhall, SCVWD (by phone) • Mark Boucher, CCCFCWCD (by phone) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Josh Bradt, SFEP • Judy Kelly, NBWA 	

Others Present:

Devon Becker, ACWD
Samantha Cohen, Brown and Caldwell
Maddie Duda, EJCW
Natasha Dunn, SFEP
Nahal Ghoghaie, EJCW
Jennifer Krebs, representing SCWA
James Muller, SFEP
Michelle Novotny, SFPUC
Britton Schwartz, EJCW
Caitlin Sweeney, SFEP
Annisia Williams, All Positives Possible
LaDonna Williams, All Positives Possible

By Phone:

Kate Burn, City of Hayward
Tess Byler, San Francisquito Creek JPA
Jacques DeBra, EKI Environment and Water
Maggie Dutton, CCWD
Susan Haydon, SCWA
Andrea Lausch, City of Sunnyvale
Sherri Norris, CIEA
Lisa Pontecorvo, StopWaste
Metra Richert, SCVWD
Alex Tavizon, CIEA
Susan Wright, Ecology Action

1. Status of Round 1, Round 2, Drought Round, 2015 Round 4

For Prop 84 Round 1, Josh Bradt gave an update. Invoice 20, which covers up to June 30, is under review. Mr. Bradt has been communicating with Jessica Arm to gather any outstanding deliverables for the round. Mr. Bradt submitted two draft project completion reports to DWR.

Regarding the Stormwater Spine project, Mr. Bradt is working on the final amendment due in 2 weeks. The amendment will declare what the grant funds were used for and after the grant term ends, he will still be reporting globally on the project because it still is happening. He is working with the utilities in the cities of El Cerrito and Emeryville to get cost estimates and timelines for relocating their pipelines. Oakland has completed their utility work.

For Round 2, Q15 documents are under review by SFEP and will be submitted October 31. Project 7 Oakland Sausal Creek Restoration retention payment has been delayed at the State Controllers Office and is expected by the end of October. SFEP is currently working to pull together documents for the 6th amendment, which is meant to adjust the closeout budget for Project 9 Petaluma Flood Reduction.

For Round 3, Q11 (April 2018 - June 2018) were submitted to DWR on September 30. Q10 payment is expected in mid-October. SFEP is working on an amendment to extend the grant out to 2020. SFEP is also working on reallocating \$705,000 in leftover admin funds to projects. San Mateo RCD and Marin Municipal Water District are interested in the using the funds for additional benefits.

For Round 4, Q7 (March 2018 - May 2018) was approved by DWR. Q8 (June 2018 – August 2018) is under review by SFEP. Q6 payment (December 2017 - February 2018) were sent to projects in early October. SFEP is working with projects to amend the scope, budget, and schedule. They are expecting to extend the grant term to 2022.

Jennifer Krebs gave an update on AQPI. There was a meeting earlier this month with the project partners. They stopped in Napa and Sonoma to meet with local staff there interested in flood control. Both Sonoma and Santa Clara have temporary radars installed. This winter, the temporary radars will be replaced by permanent radars at locations selected in Sonoma and Santa Clara. The temporary radars will be moved to other locations, pending discussions with SFPUC and Contra Costa, and others.

Action Items:

- James Muller will work on the reallocation of Round 3 leftover admin funds following further discussion by the PSC.

2. Addition of Stormwater Resource Plans to the Plan

Susan Haydon, Project Manager for the Southern Sonoma County stormwater resource plan. The plan is currently in draft form and it is expected to be finalized within the next couple weeks. The State Water Board has remarked the plan is solid, and the prioritization process is effective and defensible. 63

projects were submitted to the SWRP. Project types included stormwater capture and detention that provide multiple benefits. There have been limited field investigations incorporated into the SWRP in both watersheds in Petaluma and Sonoma creek watersheds to help understand opportunities for groundwater recharge.

Sherri Norris commented that Tribes in the North Coast would be interested in this info. Ms. Haydon commented there is companion plan that was prepared for Russian River that can be shared as well.

Judy Kelly stated the North Bay Watershed Association is having their technical committee meeting, and have been in the process of gathering information on this topic.

Nahal Ghoghaie asked if the data layers are available open access. Ms. Haydon replied all data is open access.

Michelle Novotny commented the past process to add SWRPs to the Plan has been through adoption of an appendix. This has been done by a simple vote of the Coordinating Committee. The group consented to use this process to adopt Southern Sonoma County stormwater resource plan into the 2013 BAIRWMP. It was approved to adopt the Southern Sonoma County stormwater resource plan.

Action Items:

- Taylor Chang will develop an appendix to the 2013 BAIRWMP, which will adopt Southern Sonoma County stormwater resource plan into it.
- Ms. Haydon will share the link to the finalized SWRP.
- Ms. Chang will send the appendix and meeting notes to Ms. Haydon for her records.

3. Approach to Prop 1 IRWM DAC Involvement Funds

LaDonna Williams with All Positives Possible in South Vallejo presented on her work. All Positives Possible serves South Vallejo, a disadvantaged, front line, blast zone community. There are always decisions being made about environmental DAC communities where many of them aren't at the decision making table and don't know who the decision making players are. Ms. Williams works to understand the needs of her DAC community by hosting both traditional meetings, and those not so traditional where they go to them where they're at. She has seen some positive engagement, but it's not easy and there's a need to continue for their input.

Ms. Krebs asked if there are water-related issues the community is dealing with. Ms. Williams responded affirmatively that the Phillips 66 plant causes both negative air quality and water quality issues from their numerous toxic activities and spills in the water. The most recent ones sent thousands of residents to the local hospitals, while at the same time agencies approve increases of millions of tons of crude and gas oils by ships that will no doubt increase toxic spills into the Carquinez Straits.

Also, South Vallejo has been affected by water contamination issues at the Swanzy Reservoir. Residents have complained about bad water quality, but the city isn't showing an adequate response. The city has not followed up with residents. Residents are also dealing with raw sewage build up under their houses. All Positives Possible has also been conducting fishing surveys with people that include the homeless who regularly fish to meet their daily needs, fish culturally, including Tribal activities and connection to fishing.

Britton Schwartz gave an update on contracts. Most of the outreach partners are in contract now. The remaining should be under contract in the next week or two.

Maddie Duda provided an update on the needs assessment work. EJCW had an in-person training with the outreach partners in Oakland last Thursday focused on the needs assessment. She reported there are challenges balancing local specificity and producing meaningful info that is applicable to the region. The outreach partners have expressed they want a standardized set of questions for the needs assessment. The goal of the needs assessment is to then get to solution prioritization. EJCW will be providing technical assistance help the DACs define how they want to see issues addressed. The end goal is to have project proposals ready for Prop 1 implementation funding.

The group has talked about having a limited number of projects funded in the implementation round. If there are 13 DAC partners and they all come up with projects, how would the group modify the approach to be inclusionary? It was suggested to potentially bundle similar projects. There was a comment to modify the scoring criteria so that DAC projects are made a priority.

Ms. Norris gave an update on Tribal outreach. There are 3 Tribal outreach project partners so far, with the goal to have 5 partners total. Indian People Organizing for Change (IPOC), Ramaytush Ohlone, and Amah Mutsun are the first three project partners. The Mwuekma Ohlone and Hum-U-Ren may also become project partners. Ms. Norris will be working with the partners on activities such as needs assessment, capacity building, update the IRWMP, and GIS mapping all related to identifying water-related needs and projects eligible for implementation funding. Issues that have been identified so far include removal of invasive species, fishing, fire emergency preparedness, access to safe drinking water, and water for cultural activities.

Ms. Norris is planning to have project partners under contract by mid-November.

Action Items:

- Ms. Norris will share a map of Tribal groups broken out by language.

4. Discussion of Approach to Prop 1 Implementation

Brian Mendenhall reported he is working with Robyn Navarra to connect the Project Summary Form to the project database to reduce effort on data entry. The Project Summary Form isn't mandatory for

projects, but it's a way for the group to understand what projects exist currently. The CC decided it would be helpful to extend the due date for the form to November 16.

DWR released the draft PSP in early October. Mr. Mendenhall is reviewing the PSP and comparing the group's scoring criteria to the criteria in the PSP. His assessment is that the criteria are fairly consistent, but small updates need to be made to include: responding to climate change and contributing to regional water self-reliance.

The Process and Planning (PnP) subcommittee needs to discuss when to start requesting projects to submit the full 9-page Project Information Form from DWR.

The PSP doesn't include a due date for the grant applications. DWR has a floating schedule because they are requiring in-person workshops February through July 2019. The grant application due date is dependent on when the funding region holds its workshop with DWR. Generally, the application will be due 12 weeks after the workshop date. There was discussion about whether the group should have their workshop with DWR towards July because it would leave more time to pull the grant application together.

Action Items:

- Ms. Chang will upload the revised Project Summary Form she receives from Ms. Navarra to the website.
- Ms. Chang will update the website to extend the due date for the Project Summary Form to November 16.
- Ms. Chang will put on the agenda for the next CC meeting a discussion of the lead organization for this grant round, point of contact for DWR, and participation in the Project Scoring Committee (PSC).