

**SF Bay Area IRWMP Coordinating Committee
Meeting Summary
January 23, 2017
Location: San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
525 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA; 3rd Floor Tuolumne Conference Room**

1. Roll Call – Appointed FA representatives Present

WS-WQ	WW-RW	FP-SW	Watershed	Other
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mark Seedall, CCWD 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mark Boucher, CCCFCWCD (by phone) • Brian Mendenhall, SCVWD (by phone) 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Steve Ritchie, Chair, SFPUC

Others Present:

Brad Arnold, DWR
Brenda Buxton, Coastal Conservancy
Taylor Chang, SFPUC
Rebecca Darr, SFEP

By Phone:

Matt Fabry, C/CAG of San Mateo County
Tracy Hemmeter, SCVWD
Mehdi Mizani, DWR
Carl Morrison, Morrison & Associates
Robyn Navarra, Zone 7
Matt Sagues, MMWD
Jake Spaulding, SCWA

2. Status on Round 2, 2014 Drought Round, and 2015 Round 4

For Round 2, ABAG is processing the last quarter invoices and will be uploading them for DWR’s review this month. Projects that have been completed include the SFPUC’s project, EBMUD’s project, and CCWD’s San Pablo Rheem Creek Wetlands Restoration Project. There are three potential amendments to the following projects: MMWD’s Lagunitas Creek Watershed Sediment Reduction and Management Project, CCWD’s Rheem Creek project, and Redwood City’s Bayfront Canal and Atherton Channel Flood Improvement and Habitat Restoration project.

For 2014 Drought Round, ABAG uploaded the quarter 4 report and DWR has reviewed it. DWR had a few questions and all comments should be addressed this week. Projects that have been completed include Zone 7’s project, Napa Sanitation’s project, Calistoga’s project, and StopWaste’s project. The

amendment for the Stinson Beach project is complete. The amendment to SFPUC's Lower Cherry Aqueduct project is in the process of being approved.

For 2015 Round 4, ABAG uploaded quarter 1 invoices and reports in January. The Anderson Dam Seismic Retrofit project can no longer be funded under Round 4, so there are proposals for replacement projects.

The Project Screening Committee (PSC) held a conference call to discuss alternatives to the Anderson Dam project. Tracy Hemmeter explained that as a result of new geo-technical information, the Anderson Dam project had to be re-designed and can no longer be completed by 2020 per the original grant agreement. SCVWD considered recommending other dam replacement projects as replacements, but these projects are not ready for implementation at this time.

Ms. Hemmeter presented a 1-page sheet on SCVWD's proposal to reallocate the funding to the San Francisquito Creek project. She emphasized the importance of keeping the benefits in the South Bay sub-region in order to maintain equitable distribution of funding across all four sub-regions. The San Francisquito Creek project is in need of \$3.7 million of additional funding due to cost overruns related to the extension of the construction timeline. The project encountered issues with endangered species and had to add one additional year of construction. SCVWD has considered using funds from a different project upstream on the creek, but will not need to do so if the project receives the reallocated funds. The San Francisquito Creek project is located between San Mateo County and Santa Clara County and will meet both flood protection and habitat restoration goals for the region. The project is in construction and is in SCVWD's voter-approved Safe, Clean Water and Natural Flood Protection Program.

Rebecca Darr mentioned that San Mateo Resource Conservation District (RCD) project from Round 4 has had cost increases and that the Coordinating Committee (CC) may want to consider reallocating the Anderson Dam funding to their project. The RCD found that water storage ponds cost more than originally expected. Ms. Darr asked that the RCD be given some time to draft a proposal similar to SCVWD's proposal outlining their need for additional funding. She estimated that they would request about \$750,000-\$1 million in additional funding.

There was discussion around prioritizing both the geographic distribution of funding as well as functional area benefits. The San Mateo RCD project provides water storage and flood control benefits, while the San Francisquito Creek project provides geographic distribution benefits and functional area benefits. It was discussed that while the CC is open to considering these projects as replacements, the group isn't open to reallocating funding to any other project from Round 4 unless there is a very clear need.

Action Items:

- Ms. Darr will ask San Mateo RCD to provide a 1-page summary of their proposal for receiving the funds reallocated from the Anderson Dam project.
- Taylor Chang will schedule a call after February 15 with PSC to discuss the SCVWD and San Mateo RCD proposals.

3. Website Discussion

Brian Mendenhall confirmed that internal staff at SCVWD has the capacity to move the BAIRWMP website onto WordPress. He is planning for the website to be moved over to WordPress by the end of February. Mr. Mendenhall is also working with Robyn Navarra to determine how to transfer the database component from the old platform to the new website. It may be necessary to use the remaining funds from the contract with David Siedband to prepare the data for migration to the new website. Once Mr. Mendenhall and Ms. Navarra determine what form to export the data in, David Siedband can begin transferring the data.

There is \$45,000 available to transfer the website onto WordPress and transfer over the database component. Mr. Mendenhall expects that the actual cost will lower and it may be beneficial to earmark any remaining funds for any future hosting needs or future updates.

Action Items:

- Mr. Mendenhall will determine if additional funding is needed from the 4-party agreement for the work of transferring the website onto WordPress.
- Mr. Mendenhall and Robyn Navarra will continue to discuss options for database management.

4. Approach to Prop 1 IRWM DAC Involvement Funds

Mr. Morrison stated that the Prop 1 IRWM DACI grant proposal for the Bay Area Funding Area was submitted to DWR on November 30th. DWR confirmed they received the proposal and asked for some clarifying information. For example, DWR wanted more information on the challenges associated with engaging tribal communities and clarification on the budget. EJCW should be submitting their responses to DWR's questions this week.

Mehdi Mizani commented that the North Coast funding area DACI proposal was finalized and the approval memo was signed December 21, 2016. DWR and North Coast are currently in the agreement development stage. is in contract with DWR already.

5. Announcements

Matt Fabry with C/CAG of San Mateo County announced that he would like San Mateo County's Stormwater Resource Plan (SWRP) to be incorporated into the Bay Area IRWMP at the next CC meeting. In order to be eligible for state funding, San Mateo County's SWRP needs to be accepted into the Bay Area IRWMP and submitted to the state by March 1st. The latest version of San Mateo County's SWRP can be found here: <http://ccag.ca.gov/srp/>

Action Item:

- Ms. Chang will draft an addendum to formally adopt the San Mateo County SWRP into the Bay Area IRWMP for the February CC meeting.